The Benefits of Brownfield Remediation: Estimating Cleanup Impact on Housing Property Values

$Lala Ma^1$

¹Department of Economics Duke University

August 8th, 2011

Lala Ma Benefits of Brownfield Remediation

Background

- **Brownfield** A real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Sites cannot be used until the hazardous substances are cleaned. No parties are responsible for their cleanup.
- Brownfields are generally low-risk and diverse in nature old dry cleaning shops, gas stations, industrial plants.
- There are approximately 450,000 Brownfields in the U.S.
- In 2002, "the Brownfields Law"¹ was enacted to assist organizations in revitalizing brownfields through the provision of grants.

¹ Formally known as the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act and was signed as an amendment to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980.

Background

- **Brownfield** A real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Sites cannot be used until the hazardous substances are cleaned. No parties are responsible for their cleanup.
- Brownfields are generally low-risk and diverse in nature old dry cleaning shops, gas stations, industrial plants.
- There are approximately 450,000 Brownfields in the U.S.
- In 2002, "the Brownfields Law"¹ was enacted to assist organizations in revitalizing brownfields through the provision of grants.

Background

- **Brownfield** A real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Sites cannot be used until the hazardous substances are cleaned. No parties are responsible for their cleanup.
- Brownfields are generally low-risk and diverse in nature old dry cleaning shops, gas stations, industrial plants.
- There are approximately 450,000 Brownfields in the U.S.
- In 2002, "the Brownfields Law"¹ was enacted to assist organizations in revitalizing brownfields through the provision of grants.

¹ Formally known as the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act and was signed as an amendment to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980.

- Provision of grants form the basis of the Brownfields Program there are four types of grants (assessment grants, cleanup grants, Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) grants, and Job Training grants).
- This paper focuses on the benefits from cleanup grants, which provide direct funding for cleanup activities at specific properties.
- For the fiscal year 2011, the EPA anticipates awarding around 147 cleanup grants for a budget of \$29.5 million

Research Question:

- How much welfare is being generated by brownfield remediation?
- Viewed as a neighborhood disamenity, do housing prices reflect brownfield cleanup?

- Provision of grants form the basis of the Brownfields Program there are four types of grants (assessment grants, cleanup grants, Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) grants, and Job Training grants).
- This paper focuses on the benefits from cleanup grants, which provide direct funding for cleanup activities at specific properties.
- For the fiscal year 2011, the EPA anticipates awarding around 147 cleanup grants for a budget of \$29.5 million

Research Question:

- How much welfare is being generated by brownfield remediation?
- Viewed as a neighborhood disamenity, do housing prices reflect brownfield cleanup?

- Two data sources
 - EPA: Location and attributes of funded Brownfield sites between 2003 2008
 - Dataquick: Location and attributes of houses sold between 1998 2009
- Effects of hazardous waste sites found to decrease rapidly with distance
 - Find distance, *d*, at which brownfields do not affect house prices
- Use Difference-in-Differences
 - Treatment = houses located within *d* miles of site
 - Control = houses located farther than *d* miles.
 - Distance used: 1.2 km

Graphical Evidence

• Validity of the Common Trend Assumption: Compare price trends of treatment and control groups pre- and post- treatment.



Dep. Var.: log(price)	(1)	(2)
Treat _i	-0.0500***	-0.0992***
	(0.009)	(0.006)
$Post_t \times Treat_i$	0.132***	0.0311**
	(0.021)	(0.015)
Year FE	x	x
House Controls	x	х
Brownfield FE		х
BF Linear Time Trends		x
Observations	163,790	163,790
R-squared	0.502	0.650

Standard errors in parentheses: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Controlling for differences in house attributes and year FE, prices of homes within 1.2 km (or around 0.75 miles) appreciated by roughly 13.2 percent.
- Additionally, controlling for brownfield FE and brownfield-specific linear time trends, prices of homes appreciate by 3.1 percent.
- Specification (2) is robust to artificially moving the cleanup duration earlier → the treatment effect becomes insignificant.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Dep. Var.: log(price)	(1)	(2)
Treat _i	-0.0500***	-0.0992***
	(0.009)	(0.006)
$Post_t \times Treat_i$	0.132***	0.0311**
	(0.021)	(0.015)
Year FE	x	x
House Controls	x	х
Brownfield FE		х
BF Linear Time Trends		x
Observations	163,790	163,790
R-squared	0.502	0.650

Standard errors in parentheses: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Controlling for differences in house attributes and year FE, prices of homes within 1.2 km (or around 0.75 miles) appreciated by roughly 13.2 percent.
- Additionally, controlling for brownfield FE and brownfield-specific linear time trends, prices of homes appreciate by 3.1 percent.
- Specification (2) is robust to artificially moving the cleanup duration earlier → the treatment effect becomes insignificant.

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・

Dep. Var.: log (price)	(1)	(2)
Treat _i	-0.0500***	-0.0992***
	(0.009)	(0.006)
$Post_t imes Treat_i$	0.132***	0.0311**
	(0.021)	(0.015)
Year FE	x	x
House Controls	x	х
Brownfield FE		x
BF Linear Time Trends		x
Observations	163,790	163,790
R-squared	0.502	0.650
Characterization in a construction with a color table color table color		

Standard errors in parentheses: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Controlling for differences in house attributes and year FE, prices of homes within 1.2 km (or around 0.75 miles) appreciated by roughly 13.2 percent.
- Additionally, controlling for brownfield FE and brownfield-specific linear time trends, prices of homes appreciate by 3.1 percent.
- Specification (2) is robust to artificially moving the cleanup duration earlier → the treatment effect becomes insignificant.

(1)	(2)†
-0.0992***	-0.0877***
(0.006)	(0.006)
0.0311**	-0.0643***
(0.015)	(0.015)
	0.107***
	(0.024)
×	×
×	x
×	×
x	x
163,790	163,790
0.650	0.650
	-0.0992*** (0.006) 0.0311** (0.015) x x x x x x x 163,790

Standard errors in parentheses: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Brownfield assessments, conducted before cleanup, may be causing prices of treated houses to trend differently.
- To check, further distinguish houses sold before assessment completed.
- Col (2) estimates an overall 4.3% increase in price after assessment and cleanup.
- Magnitude is similar to previous DID estimate.
- Effect of cleanup may be larger without effect of assessment.
- Assessments cause prices to decrease.

(1)	(2)†
-0.0992***	-0.0877***
(0.006)	(0.006)
0.0311**	-0.0643***
(0.015)	(0.015)
	0.107***
	(0.024)
x	x
x	x
x	x
x	x
163,790	163,790
0.650	0.650
	-0.0992*** (0.006) 0.0311** (0.015) X X X X X X X 163,790

Standard errors in parentheses: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Brownfield assessments, conducted before cleanup, may be causing prices of treated houses to trend differently.
- To check, further distinguish houses sold before assessment completed.
- Col (2) estimates an overall 4.3% increase in price after assessment and cleanup.
- Magnitude is similar to previous DID estimate.
- Effect of cleanup may be larger without effect of assessment.
- Assessments cause prices to decrease.

Dep. Var.: log (price)	(1)	(2)†
Treat _i	-0.0992***	-0.0877***
	(0.006)	(0.006)
$Post_t \times Treat_i$	0.0311**	-0.0643***
	(0.015)	(0.015)
$\textit{Post}_t imes \textit{Treat}_i imes \textit{Clean}_t$		0.107***
		(0.024)
Year FE	×	x
House Controls	×	x
Brownfield FE	×	×
BF Linear Time Trends	×	x
Observations	163,790	163,790
R-squared	0.650	0.650

Standard errors in parentheses: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Brownfield assessments, conducted before cleanup, may be causing prices of treated houses to trend differently.
- To check, further distinguish houses sold before assessment completed.
- Col (2) estimates an overall 4.3% increase in price after assessment and cleanup.
- Magnitude is similar to previous DID estimate.
- Effect of cleanup may be larger without effect of assessment.
- Assessments cause prices to decrease.

Dep. Var.: log (price)	(1)	(2)†
Treat _i	-0.0992***	-0.0877***
	(0.006)	(0.006)
$Post_t imes Treat_i$	0.0311**	-0.0643***
	(0.015)	(0.015)
$Post_t \times Treat_i \times Clean_t$		0.107***
		(0.024)
Year FE	x	x
House Controls	x	x
Brownfield FE	x	×
BF Linear Time Trends	х	x
Observations	163,790	163,790
R-squared	0.650	0.650

Standard errors in parentheses: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Brownfield assessments, conducted before cleanup, may be causing prices of treated houses to trend differently.
- To check, further distinguish houses sold before assessment completed.
- Col (2) estimates an overall 4.3% increase in price after assessment and cleanup.
- Magnitude is similar to previous DID estimate.
- Effect of cleanup may be larger without effect of assessment.
- Assessments cause prices to decrease.